1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation,	No. MDL 15-02641-PHX-DGC
10		
11	Lisa Hyde and Mark E. Hyde, a married	
12	couple,	No. CV-16-00893-PHX-DGC
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
15	C. R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey corporation; and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., an	
16	Arizona corporation,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	We, the jury, duly empaneled and sv	worn in the above entitled action, upon our
20	oaths, find as follows:	
21	A. LIABILITY	
22	1. Do you find by the greater weight of the evidence, to a reasonable certainty,	
23	that Bard is liable to Mrs. Hyde on the strict product liability design defect claim?	
24	Yes No	
25		
26	2. Do you find by the greater weight of the evidence, to a reasonable certainty	
27	that Bard is liable to Mrs. Hyde on the negligent design claim?	
28	Yes No	

1	If you answered "No" to each question in Part A, do not complete Parts B or C	
2	If you answered "Yes" to either question in Part A, please complete Parts B and C.	
3		
4	B. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	
5	1. If you found Bard liable to Mrs. Hyde on either of the claims set forth	
6	above, what amount of damages do you find will reasonably compensate Mrs. Hyde for	
7	her injuries?	
8	\$	
9		
10	2. Do you find by the greater weight of the evidence, to a reasonable certainty.	
11	that Mr. Hyde sustained a loss of consortium?	
12	Yes No	
13		
14	3. If you answered "Yes" to Question B.2, then answer this question	
15	Otherwise do not answer it. What amount of damages do you find will reasonably	
16	compensate Mr. Hyde for his loss of consortium?	
17	\$	
18		
19	C. PUNITIVE DAMAGES	
20	Do you find by clear, satisfactory, and convincing evidence, to a reasonable	
21	certainty, that punitive damages should be awarded against Bard?	
22	Yes No	
23		
24		
25		
26	Presiding Juror Number Date	
27		
28		